

















IR Models: probabilistic models

- Rank documents by the probability that, for instance:
 - A random document from the documents that contain the query is relevant (known as "the probabilistic model" or "naïve Bayes")
 - A random surfer visits the page (known as "Google PageRank")
 - Random words from the document form the query (known as "language models")















































































		S University of Twente The Netherlands			
Priors in Entry	Page Sear	ch: results			
method	Content	Anchors			
P(Q D)	0.3375	0.4188			
$P(Q D)P_{doclen}(D)$	0.2634	0.5600			
$P(Q D)P_{inlink}(D)$	0.4974	0.5365			
$P(Q D)P_{URL}(D)$	0.7705	0.6301			
(Kraaij, Westerveld and Hiemstra 2002)					















	#rol	MAD	P proc	MPP	D10
Model 1	 _(candida	te model):	n-prec	MUUU	P10
BASE	511	0.1253	0.1914	0.2759	0.236
Model 2	(docume	nt model):			
BASE	580	0.1880	0.2332	0.5149	0.316

Q = "enviro	nmental p	rotection laws"环境保护法	
P(word Q)	word .	meaning .	
0.061	a.	[punctuation]	
0.036	的	[possessive suffix]	
0.027		[punctuation]	
0.017	和	and	
0, 016	8	[punctuation]	
0.009	环境	environment	
0.009	7	[end of sentence]	
0.008	海洋	808	
0.008	法	1aw	
0.008	资源	resource	
0.007	全国	whole country	
0.007	在	in	
0.006	保护	protect	
0.006	污染	pollution	
0, 006	胶	rubber	
0.006	发泡	defects in plastic	
0.005	与	and	
0.005	中国	china	
0.005	产品	product	
 0.005	社社	1.00	

		University of Twente The Netherlands
The rele	evance m	odel in action
Q = "amazo	n rain forest"	
word	probability	
the	0.0776	
of	0.0386	
and	0.0251	 should be explained by ge-
to	0.0244	neral (background) model
in	0.0203	
amazon	0.0114 🔶	interacting word
for	0.0109	
:		
assistence	0.0009	These are too specific:
 macminn	0.0008	single document model































"ar	nazon ra	ain forest" ag	jain	University of Twente The Netherlands
		$\mu = 0.0000001$		
	word	probability		
	amazon	0.3367		
	rain	0.3365		
	forest	0.2896		
	ban	0.0370		
	brazil	0.0002		
				80/100





University of Twente The Netherlands						
	I-li ali	ing i	orex	Jent S	earci	1
Method	MAP	MRR	R-prec	P5	P10	P20
Method 1 Method 2	0.1587 0.1712	$0.6550 \\ 0.6712$	$0.2598 \\ 0.2755$	0.4285 0.4306	0.4122 0.4304	0.3341 0.3653
Table 1:	Perform	nance o	fevnert	rankin	g meth	ods
Table 1: Fertormance of expert ranking methods						
(Serdyukov and Hiemstra 2008)						
(table contains results from earlier experiments)						
						00/400























				S University of Twente The Netherlands
E)	peri	mental	Results	S
Performance ov	verview o	of the relevand	ce propagat	ion models:
	Model MAX	unweighted	0 352	
	IDG	0.342	0.371	
	HITS	0.343	0.376	
	PRW	0.340	0.386	
	(Ro	ode et al. 200	7)	21100









